	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/942,461	SAKAMOTO, SADAAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734
All Participants:	Status of Application	16
(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.	(3)	
(2) Edward Meilman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		TIMAR DIRCHESED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Agreed to Examiner's Amendment and extension of time to p	NERAL NATURE OF WITH lace the case in condition for	allowance.
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumi	the examiner will provide	of the interview, since the interview
. /		
High	- Mariantia Bassagan	sative Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Represent	auvo orginación il appropriato)

U.S. Potent and Trademark Office PTQL-413B (04-03)